On the Spectral Synthesis Problem

James Murphy

Norbert Wiener Center Department of Mathematics University of Maryland, College Park http://www2.math.umd.edu/ jmurphy4/ Advisors: J.J. Benedetto, W. Czaja Suppose G is a locally compact abelian group. Let Γ := Ĝ, the dual group of Ĝ. We call γ ∈ Γ a character.

• For $f \in L^1(\mathcal{G})$, let $f : \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the *Fourier transform of f*:

$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) := \int_{\mathcal{G}} f(x) \gamma(x) d\mu(x),$$

where μ is the Haar measure on \mathcal{G} .

Let

$$A(\Gamma) := \left\{ \hat{f} \mid f \in L^1(\mathcal{G}) \right\}$$

be the space of *absolutely convergent Fourier transforms* on Γ . This is a Banach algebra under pointwise multiplication and is contained in $C_0(\Gamma)$.

- Suppose G is a locally compact abelian group. Let Γ := Ĝ, the dual group of Ĝ. We call γ ∈ Γ a character.
- For $f \in L^1(\mathcal{G})$, let $\hat{f} : \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the *Fourier transform of f*:

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) := \int_{\mathcal{G}} f(x) \gamma(x) d\mu(x),$$

where μ is the Haar measure on \mathcal{G} .

Let

$$A(\Gamma) := \left\{ \hat{f} \mid f \in L^1(\mathcal{G}) \right\}$$

be the space of *absolutely convergent Fourier transforms* on Γ . This is a Banach algebra under pointwise multiplication and is contained in $C_0(\Gamma)$.

- Suppose G is a locally compact abelian group. Let Γ := Ĝ, the dual group of Ĝ. We call γ ∈ Γ a character.
- For $f \in L^1(\mathcal{G})$, let $\hat{f} : \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the *Fourier transform of f*:

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) := \int_{\mathcal{G}} f(x) \gamma(x) d\mu(x),$$

where μ is the Haar measure on \mathcal{G} .

Let

$$A(\Gamma) := \left\{ \hat{f} \mid f \in L^1(\mathcal{G}) \right\}$$

be the space of *absolutely convergent Fourier transforms* on Γ . This is a Banach algebra under pointwise multiplication and is contained in $C_0(\Gamma)$.

- The space of *pseudomeasures* on Γ, denoted A'(Γ), is the Banach dual of A(Γ). Alternatively, we may set A'(Γ) := { F̂ | F ∈ L[∞](G) }.
- The duality between $A(\Gamma)$ and $A'(\Gamma)$ is as follows: for all $T \in A'(\Gamma)$ and all $\phi \in A(\Gamma)$, we define

$$\langle T, \phi \rangle = \langle \hat{T}, \hat{\phi} \rangle.$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{supp}(T) \subset \Lambda \ \phi = 0 \ \operatorname{on} \Lambda \end{array} \right\} \qquad \Longrightarrow \langle T, \phi
angle = 0$$

• This is the classical formulation. Related notions have been developed, for example when discussing spectral synthesis on manifolds or on Banach algebras.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- The space of *pseudomeasures* on Γ, denoted A'(Γ), is the Banach dual of A(Γ). Alternatively, we may set A'(Γ) := { F̂ | F ∈ L[∞](G) }.
- The duality between A(Γ) and A'(Γ) is as follows: for all T ∈ A'(Γ) and all φ ∈ A(Γ), we define

$$\langle T, \phi \rangle = \langle \hat{T}, \hat{\phi} \rangle.$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{supp}(T) \subset \Lambda \ \phi = 0 \ \operatorname{on} \Lambda \end{array}
ight\} \qquad \Longrightarrow \langle T, \phi
angle = 0$$

• This is the classical formulation. Related notions have been developed, for example when discussing spectral synthesis on manifolds or on Banach algebras.

- The space of *pseudomeasures* on Γ, denoted A'(Γ), is the Banach dual of A(Γ). Alternatively, we may set A'(Γ) := { F̂ | F ∈ L[∞](G) }.
- The duality between A(Γ) and A'(Γ) is as follows: for all T ∈ A'(Γ) and all φ ∈ A(Γ), we define

$$\langle T, \phi \rangle = \langle \hat{T}, \hat{\phi} \rangle.$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{supp}(\mathcal{T}) \subset \Lambda \\ \phi = 0 \text{ on } \Lambda \end{array} \right\} \qquad \Longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{T}, \phi \rangle = 0.$$

• This is the classical formulation. Related notions have been developed, for example when discussing spectral synthesis on manifolds or on Banach algebras.

- The space of *pseudomeasures* on Γ, denoted A'(Γ), is the Banach dual of A(Γ). Alternatively, we may set A'(Γ) := { F̂ | F ∈ L[∞](G) }.
- The duality between $A(\Gamma)$ and $A'(\Gamma)$ is as follows: for all $T \in A'(\Gamma)$ and all $\phi \in A(\Gamma)$, we define

$$\langle T, \phi \rangle = \langle \hat{T}, \hat{\phi} \rangle.$$

$$\left. egin{array}{c} \mathsf{supp}(\mathcal{T}) \subset \mathsf{\Lambda} \ \phi = \mathsf{0} \ \mathsf{on} \ \mathsf{\Lambda} \end{array}
ight\} \qquad \Longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{T}, \phi
angle = \mathsf{0}.$$

• This is the classical formulation. Related notions have been developed, for example when discussing spectral synthesis on manifolds or on Banach algebras.

 The following notion appears in the works of Beurling on balayage, dating as early as the 1940's.

Definition

We say a closed subset $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ is an *B-Set* if: $\forall \mu \in M(\mathcal{G}), \forall f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{G})$:

$$egin{array}{l} {
m supp}(\hat{f})\subset \Lambda\ {
m $\hat{\mu}=0$ on Λ} & \Longrightarrow \langle \hat{f},\hat{\mu}
angle=0. \end{array}$$

Theorem

(JJB, JMM) For every $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$, Λ is an S-set iff it is a B-set.

 The following notion appears in the works of Beurling on balayage, dating as early as the 1940's.

Definition

We say a closed subset $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ is an *B-Set* if: $\forall \mu \in M(\mathcal{G}), \forall f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{G})$:

$$\left. egin{array}{l} {\rm supp}(\hat{f}) \subset \Lambda \ \hat{\mu} = 0 \ {\rm on} \ \Lambda \end{array}
ight\} \qquad \Longrightarrow \langle \hat{f}, \hat{\mu}
angle = 0.$$

Theorem

(JJB, JMM) For every $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$, Λ is an S-set iff it is a B-set.

 The following notion appears in the works of Beurling on balayage, dating as early as the 1940's.

Definition

We say a closed subset $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ is an *B-Set* if: $\forall \mu \in M(\mathcal{G}), \forall f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{G})$:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \sup p(\hat{f}) \subset \Lambda \\ \hat{\mu} = 0 \text{ on } \Lambda \end{aligned} \right\} \qquad \Longrightarrow \langle \hat{f}, \hat{\mu} \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

(JJB, JMM) For every $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$, Λ is an S-set iff it is a B-set.

 The study of spectral synthesis is closely related to both the ideal structure of A(Γ), and the theory of Fourier series for L[∞] functions.

For Λ ⊂ Γ closed, we set

$$j(\Lambda) := \{ \phi \in A(\Gamma) \mid \mathsf{supp}(\phi) \cap \Lambda = \emptyset \}.$$

$$k(\Lambda) := \{ \phi \in A(\Gamma) \mid \phi \mid_{\Lambda} \equiv 0 \}.$$

• $\overline{j(\Lambda)}$ is the smallest closed ideal containing all the elements of $A(\Gamma)$ vanishing on Λ , and $k(\Lambda)$ is the largest such closed ideal.

- The study of spectral synthesis is closely related to both the ideal structure of A(Γ), and the theory of Fourier series for L[∞] functions.
- For $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ closed, we set

$$j(\Lambda) := \{ \phi \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \mid \mathsf{supp}(\phi) \cap \Lambda = \emptyset \}.$$

$$k(\Lambda) := \{ \phi \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \mid \phi|_{\Lambda} \equiv \mathbf{0} \}.$$

• $\overline{j(\Lambda)}$ is the smallest closed ideal containing all the elements of $A(\Gamma)$ vanishing on Λ , and $k(\Lambda)$ is the largest such closed ideal.

- The study of spectral synthesis is closely related to both the ideal structure of A(Γ), and the theory of Fourier series for L[∞] functions.
- For $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ closed, we set

$$j(\Lambda) := \{ \phi \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \mid \mathsf{supp}(\phi) \cap \Lambda = \emptyset \}.$$

$$k(\Lambda) := \{ \phi \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \mid \phi|_{\Lambda} \equiv \mathbf{0} \}.$$

• $\overline{j(\Lambda)}$ is the smallest closed ideal containing all the elements of $A(\Gamma)$ vanishing on Λ , and $k(\Lambda)$ is the largest such closed ideal.

● How to formulate a notion of Fourier series for F ∈ L[∞](G)?

Definition

Let \mathcal{G} be a locally compact abelian group with dual group Γ . For a translation-invariant, weak-* closed subspace $\mathcal{J} \subset L^{\infty}(\mathcal{G})$, we define the *spectrum of* \mathcal{J} to be:

 $\operatorname{sp}(\mathcal{J}) := \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid (\gamma, \cdot) \in \mathcal{J} \}.$

Let \mathcal{J}_{sp} be the weak-* closure of the span of $sp\mathcal{J}$. We say the elements of \mathcal{J} are *synthesizable* if $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{sp}$. In particular, $F \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{G})$ is *synthesizable* if $F \in \mathcal{J}_{sp}^{F}$, where \mathcal{J}^{F} is generated by the translates of F.

 In short, *F* ∈ *L*[∞](*G*) is synthesizable if it can be written as a weak-* convergent linear combination of characters in the spectrum of *F*. This generalizes the notion of Fourier series.

• How to formulate a notion of Fourier series for $F \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{G})$?

Definition

Let \mathcal{G} be a locally compact abelian group with dual group Γ . For a translation-invariant, weak-* closed subspace $\mathcal{J} \subset L^{\infty}(\mathcal{G})$, we define the *spectrum of* \mathcal{J} to be:

 $\operatorname{sp}(\mathcal{J}) := \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid (\gamma, \cdot) \in \mathcal{J} \}.$

Let \mathcal{J}_{sp} be the weak-* closure of the span of $sp\mathcal{J}$. We say the elements of \mathcal{J} are *synthesizable* if $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{sp}$. In particular, $F \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{G})$ is *synthesizable* if $F \in \mathcal{J}_{sp}^{F}$, where \mathcal{J}^{F} is generated by the translates of F.

 In short, F ∈ L[∞](G) is synthesizable if it can be written as a weak-* convergent linear combination of characters in the spectrum of F. This generalizes the notion of Fourier series.

● How to formulate a notion of Fourier series for F ∈ L[∞](G)?

Definition

Let \mathcal{G} be a locally compact abelian group with dual group Γ . For a translation-invariant, weak-* closed subspace $\mathcal{J} \subset L^{\infty}(\mathcal{G})$, we define the *spectrum of* \mathcal{J} to be:

 $\operatorname{sp}(\mathcal{J}) := \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid (\gamma, \cdot) \in \mathcal{J} \}.$

Let \mathcal{J}_{sp} be the weak-* closure of the span of $sp\mathcal{J}$. We say the elements of \mathcal{J} are *synthesizable* if $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{sp}$. In particular, $F \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{G})$ is *synthesizable* if $F \in \mathcal{J}_{sp}^{F}$, where \mathcal{J}^{F} is generated by the translates of F.

In short, *F* ∈ *L*[∞](*G*) is synthesizable if it can be written as a weak-* convergent linear combination of characters in the spectrum of *F*. This generalizes the notion of Fourier series.

・ロン ・四 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と

Theorem

TFAE for $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ *closed:*

- A is an S-set.
- $i \ \overline{j(\Lambda)} = k(\Lambda).$
- **③** $\Lambda = sp(\mathcal{J})$ for a unique translation-invariant subspace $\mathcal{J} \subset L^{\infty}(\mathcal{G})$.
 - The equivalence (1) ⇔ (2) relates the spectral synthesis problem to the ideal structure of A(Γ).
 - The equivalence (1) ⇔ (3) relates the spectral synthesis problem to the study of Fourier series for L[∞] functions.

Theorem

TFAE for $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ closed:

- A is an S-set.
- $i \overline{j(\Lambda)} = k(\Lambda).$
- **③** $\Lambda = sp(\mathcal{J})$ for a unique translation-invariant subspace $\mathcal{J} \subset L^{\infty}(\mathcal{G})$.
 - The equivalence (1) ⇔ (2) relates the spectral synthesis problem to the ideal structure of A(Γ).
 - The equivalence (1) ⇔ (3) relates the spectral synthesis problem to the study of Fourier series for L[∞] functions.

Theorem

TFAE for $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ closed:

- A is an S-set.
- $i \ \overline{j(\Lambda)} = k(\Lambda).$
- **(a)** $\Lambda = sp(\mathcal{J})$ for a unique translation-invariant subspace $\mathcal{J} \subset L^{\infty}(\mathcal{G})$.
 - The equivalence (1) ⇔ (2) relates the spectral synthesis problem to the ideal structure of A(Γ).
 - The equivalence (1) ⇔ (3) relates the spectral synthesis problem to the study of Fourier series for L[∞] functions.

Examples of S-sets include:

- Ø; this is a direct consequence of Wiener's Lemma for the inversion of Fourier series.
- (2) The Cantor set in \mathbb{R} (Herz).
- \bigcirc $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (Herz).
- $\bigcirc \{\gamma\} \subset \mathsf{\Gamma}, \text{ for any } \mathsf{\Gamma}.$
- Il closed Λ ⊂ Γ for Γ discrete.
- Star-shaped sets in \mathbb{R}^{a} .
- \bigcirc Λ \subset Γ such that Λ + Λ \subset Λ and such that 0 \in Λ°.
- In 1948, L. Schwartz proved that S² ⊂ ℝ³, the unit sphere in three dimensions, is *not* a set of spectral synthesis.
- It was later shown by Malliavin that every non-discrete Γ contains a closed set which is not of spectral synthesis.

Examples of S-sets include:

- Ø; this is a direct consequence of Wiener's Lemma for the inversion of Fourier series.
- Interpretation Provide the analysis of the equation of the
- \bigcirc $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (Herz).
- \bigcirc { γ } ⊂ Γ, for any Γ.
- Il closed Λ ⊂ Γ for Γ discrete.
- Star-shaped sets in R^d.
- \bigcirc $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ such that $\Lambda + \Lambda \subset \Lambda$ and such that $0 \in \overline{\Lambda^{\circ}}$.
- In 1948, L. Schwartz proved that S² ⊂ ℝ³, the unit sphere in three dimensions, is *not* a set of spectral synthesis.
- It was later shown by Malliavin that every non-discrete Γ contains a closed set which is not of spectral synthesis.

- Examples of S-sets include:
 - Ø; this is a direct consequence of Wiener's Lemma for the inversion of Fourier series.
 - The Cantor set in R (Herz).
 - \bigcirc $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (Herz).
 - () $\{\gamma\} \subset \Gamma$, for any Γ .
 - Il closed Λ ⊂ Γ for Γ discrete.
 - **(5)** Star-shaped sets in \mathbb{R}^{a}
 - \bigcirc Λ \subset Γ such that Λ + Λ \subset Λ and such that 0 \in Λ°.
- In 1948, L. Schwartz proved that S² ⊂ ℝ³, the unit sphere in three dimensions, is *not* a set of spectral synthesis.
- It was later shown by Malliavin that every non-discrete Γ contains a closed set which is not of spectral synthesis.

- Examples of S-sets include:
 - Ø; this is a direct consequence of Wiener's Lemma for the inversion of Fourier series.
 - 2 The Cantor set in \mathbb{R} (Herz).
 - \bigcirc $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (Herz).
 - $\textcircled{0} \{\gamma\} \subset \mathsf{\Gamma}, ext{ for any } \mathsf{\Gamma}.$
 - Il closed Λ ⊂ Γ for Γ discrete.
 - 6) Star-shaped sets in \mathbb{R}^{a}
 - \bigcirc Λ \subset Γ such that Λ + Λ \subset Λ and such that 0 \in $\overline{\Lambda^{\circ}}$.
- In 1948, L. Schwartz proved that S² ⊂ ℝ³, the unit sphere in three dimensions, is *not* a set of spectral synthesis.
- It was later shown by Malliavin that every non-discrete Γ contains a closed set which is not of spectral synthesis.

- Examples of S-sets include:
 - Ø; this is a direct consequence of Wiener's Lemma for the inversion of Fourier series.
 - The Cantor set in R (Herz).
 - $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (Herz).
 - $\{\gamma\} \subset \Gamma$, for any Γ .
 - Il closed Λ ⊂ Γ for Γ discrete.
 - 6 Star-shaped sets in \mathbb{R}^{a}
 - \bigcirc Λ \subset Γ such that Λ + Λ \subset Λ and such that 0 \in Λ°.
- In 1948, L. Schwartz proved that S² ⊂ ℝ³, the unit sphere in three dimensions, is *not* a set of spectral synthesis.
- It was later shown by Malliavin that every non-discrete Γ contains a closed set which is not of spectral synthesis.

- Examples of S-sets include:
 - Ø; this is a direct consequence of Wiener's Lemma for the inversion of Fourier series.
 - 2 The Cantor set in \mathbb{R} (Herz).
 - $I \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (Herz).
 - $\{\gamma\} \subset \mathsf{\Gamma}, \text{ for any } \mathsf{\Gamma}.$
 - **(**) All closed $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ for Γ discrete.
 - $\fbox{0}$ Star-shaped sets in \mathbb{R}^{a}
 - \bigcirc Λ \subset Γ such that Λ + Λ \subset Λ and such that 0 \in Λ°.
- In 1948, L. Schwartz proved that S² ⊂ ℝ³, the unit sphere in three dimensions, is *not* a set of spectral synthesis.
- It was later shown by Malliavin that every non-discrete Γ contains a closed set which is not of spectral synthesis.

- Examples of S-sets include:
 - Ø; this is a direct consequence of Wiener's Lemma for the inversion of Fourier series.
 - 2 The Cantor set in \mathbb{R} (Herz).
 - $I \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (Herz).
 - $\{\gamma\} \subset \Gamma$, for any Γ .
 - **(a)** All closed $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ for Γ discrete.
 - Star-shaped sets in \mathbb{R}^d .
 - \bigcirc Λ \subset Γ such that Λ + Λ \subset Λ and such that 0 \in Λ°.
- In 1948, L. Schwartz proved that S² ⊂ ℝ³, the unit sphere in three dimensions, is *not* a set of spectral synthesis.
- It was later shown by Malliavin that every non-discrete Γ contains a closed set which is not of spectral synthesis.

- Examples of S-sets include:
 - Ø; this is a direct consequence of Wiener's Lemma for the inversion of Fourier series.
 - 2 The Cantor set in \mathbb{R} (Herz).
 - $I \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (Herz).
 - $\{\gamma\} \subset \Gamma$, for any Γ .
 - **(a)** All closed $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ for Γ discrete.
 - **(5)** Star-shaped sets in \mathbb{R}^d .
 - Λ ⊂ Γ such that Λ + Λ ⊂ Λ and such that $0 ∈ \overline{Λ^{\circ}}$.
- In 1948, L. Schwartz proved that S² ⊂ ℝ³, the unit sphere in three dimensions, is *not* a set of spectral synthesis.
- It was later shown by Malliavin that every non-discrete Γ contains a closed set which is not of spectral synthesis.

- Examples of S-sets include:
 - Ø; this is a direct consequence of Wiener's Lemma for the inversion of Fourier series.
 - 2 The Cantor set in \mathbb{R} (Herz).
 - $I \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (Herz).
 - $\{\gamma\} \subset \Gamma$, for any Γ .
 - **(a)** All closed $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ for Γ discrete.
 - Star-shaped sets in \mathbb{R}^d .
 - Λ ⊂ Γ such that Λ + Λ ⊂ Λ and such that $0 ∈ \overline{Λ^{\circ}}$.
- In 1948, L. Schwartz proved that S² ⊂ ℝ³, the unit sphere in three dimensions, is *not* a set of spectral synthesis.
- It was later shown by Malliavin that every non-discrete Γ contains a closed set which is not of spectral synthesis.

- Examples of S-sets include:
 - Ø; this is a direct consequence of Wiener's Lemma for the inversion of Fourier series.
 - 2 The Cantor set in \mathbb{R} (Herz).
 - $I \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (Herz).
 - $\{\gamma\} \subset \Gamma$, for any Γ .
 - **(a)** All closed $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ for Γ discrete.
 - **(5)** Star-shaped sets in \mathbb{R}^d .
 - Λ ⊂ Γ such that Λ + Λ ⊂ Λ and such that $0 ∈ \overline{Λ^{\circ}}$.
- In 1948, L. Schwartz proved that S² ⊂ ℝ³, the unit sphere in three dimensions, is *not* a set of spectral synthesis.
- It was later shown by Malliavin that every non-discrete Γ contains a closed set which is not of spectral synthesis.

• **Open Question**: Is the union of two sets of spectral synthesis itself of spectral synthesis?

- For certain *related but weaker* notions of spectral synthesis, this has been shown.
- There is active work on spectral synthesis in the context of algebraic groups (Szèkelyhidi), operator algebras (Ludwig, Turowska et al.) and manifolds in ℝ^d. We shall focus on this last subject for the remainder of the talk.

- Open Question: Is the union of two sets of spectral synthesis itself of spectral synthesis?
- For certain *related but weaker* notions of spectral synthesis, this has been shown.
- There is active work on spectral synthesis in the context of algebraic groups (Szèkelyhidi), operator algebras (Ludwig, Turowska et al.) and manifolds in ℝ^d. We shall focus on this last subject for the remainder of the talk.

- Open Question: Is the union of two sets of spectral synthesis itself of spectral synthesis?
- For certain *related but weaker* notions of spectral synthesis, this has been shown.
- There is active work on spectral synthesis in the context of algebraic groups (Szèkelyhidi), operator algebras (Ludwig, Turowska et al.) and manifolds in ℝ^d. We shall focus on this last subject for the remainder of the talk.

• Schwartz's example of a set that is not of spectral synthesis is a manifold in \mathbb{R}^3 .

- What role does differential geometry play in whether or not a manifold will be of spectral synthesis? A big one, as it turns out.
- In the context of studying the spectral synthesis properties of manifolds in Euclidean space, it is interesting to study a slightly weaker notion of spectral synthesis, which we shall call *weak spectral synthesis*.

- Schwartz's example of a set that is not of spectral synthesis is a manifold in ℝ³.
- What role does differential geometry play in whether or not a manifold will be of spectral synthesis? A big one, as it turns out.
- In the context of studying the spectral synthesis properties of manifolds in Euclidean space, it is interesting to study a slightly weaker notion of spectral synthesis, which we shall call *weak spectral synthesis*.

- Schwartz's example of a set that is not of spectral synthesis is a manifold in ℝ³.
- What role does differential geometry play in whether or not a manifold will be of spectral synthesis? A big one, as it turns out.
- In the context of studying the spectral synthesis properties of manifolds in Euclidean space, it is interesting to study a slightly weaker notion of spectral synthesis, which we shall call *weak spectral synthesis*.

- Schwartz's example of a set that is not of spectral synthesis is a manifold in ℝ³.
- What role does differential geometry play in whether or not a manifold will be of spectral synthesis? A big one, as it turns out.
- In the context of studying the spectral synthesis properties of manifolds in Euclidean space, it is interesting to study a slightly weaker notion of spectral synthesis, which we shall call *weak spectral synthesis*.

A closed set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a set of *weak spectral synthesis* if for every $f \in A(\mathbb{R}^d)$ vanishing on Λ , there exists a sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of Schwartz functions vanishing on Λ that converges to f in the $A(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm.

Why call this "spectral synthesis?"

Theorem

(JJB, JMM) $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an S-set if and only if for every $f \in A(\mathbb{R})$ vanishing on Λ , there exists a sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset S(\mathbb{R})$ of Schwartz functions vanishing on a neighborhood of Λ that converges to f in the $A(\mathbb{R})$ norm.

A closed set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a set of *weak spectral synthesis* if for every $f \in A(\mathbb{R}^d)$ vanishing on Λ , there exists a sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of Schwartz functions vanishing on Λ that converges to f in the $A(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm.

Why call this "spectral synthesis?"

Theorem

(JJB, JMM) $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an S-set if and only if for every $f \in A(\mathbb{R})$ vanishing on Λ , there exists a sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset S(\mathbb{R})$ of Schwartz functions vanishing on a neighborhood of Λ that converges to f in the $A(\mathbb{R})$ norm.

A closed set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a set of *weak spectral synthesis* if for every $f \in A(\mathbb{R}^d)$ vanishing on Λ , there exists a sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of Schwartz functions vanishing on Λ that converges to f in the $A(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm.

Why call this "spectral synthesis?"

Theorem

(JJB, JMM) $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an S-set if and only if for every $f \in A(\mathbb{R})$ vanishing on Λ , there exists a sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset S(\mathbb{R})$ of Schwartz functions vanishing on a neighborhood of Λ that converges to f in the $A(\mathbb{R})$ norm.

- With this slightly weaker formulation of spectral synthesis, things get very interesting. It has been shown by Varopoulos that S^{d-1} ⊂ ℝ^d is a set of weak spectral synthesis for all d ≥ 1.
- There are generalizations of Malliavin's theorem, showing the existence of subsets of ℝ^d that are not of weak spectral synthesis, for all d ≥ 1. These sets lack obvious structure and regularity, and are not manifolds.
- It is thus natural to ask: is every smooth manifold in ℝ^d a set of weak spectral synthesis?

- With this slightly weaker formulation of spectral synthesis, things get very interesting. It has been shown by Varopoulos that S^{d-1} ⊂ ℝ^d is a set of weak spectral synthesis for all d ≥ 1.
- There are generalizations of Malliavin's theorem, showing the existence of subsets of ℝ^d that are not of weak spectral synthesis, for all d ≥ 1. These sets lack obvious structure and regularity, and are not manifolds.
- It is thus natural to ask: is every smooth manifold in ℝ^d a set of weak spectral synthesis?

- With this slightly weaker formulation of spectral synthesis, things get very interesting. It has been shown by Varopoulos that S^{d-1} ⊂ ℝ^d is a set of weak spectral synthesis for all d ≥ 1.
- There are generalizations of Malliavin's theorem, showing the existence of subsets of ℝ^d that are not of weak spectral synthesis, for all d ≥ 1. These sets lack obvious structure and regularity, and are not manifolds.
- It is thus natural to ask: is every smooth manifold in ℝ^d a set of weak spectral synthesis?

- No. Domar made substantial contributions to understanding what conditions on a manifold ensure it is a set of weak spectral synthesis.
- Let us first examine the case of curves, which is some sense the simplest class of manifolds. The notion of *curvature* plays a crucial role here:

For a C^2 plane curve $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$, the *planar curvature* at $s \in [a, b]$ is given by the formula

$$\kappa(s) := rac{\gamma_1^{'}(s)\gamma_2^{''}(s) - \gamma_1^{''}(s)\gamma_2^{'}(s)}{((\gamma_1^{'}(s))^2 + (\gamma_2^{'}(s))^2)^{rac{3}{2}}}.$$

Theorem

(Domar) Suppose $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the graph of a simple C^2 curve that is of non-vanishing planar curvature everywhere. Then Λ is a set of weak spectral synthesis.

- No. Domar made substantial contributions to understanding what conditions on a manifold ensure it is a set of weak spectral synthesis.
- Let us first examine the case of curves, which is some sense the simplest class of manifolds. The notion of *curvature* plays a crucial role here:

For a C^2 plane curve $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$, the *planar curvature* at $s \in [a, b]$ is given by the formula

$$\kappa(s) := rac{\gamma_1^{'}(s)\gamma_2^{''}(s) - \gamma_1^{''}(s)\gamma_2^{'}(s)}{((\gamma_1^{'}(s))^2 + (\gamma_2^{'}(s))^2)^{rac{3}{2}}}.$$

Theorem

(Domar) Suppose $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the graph of a simple C^2 curve that is of non-vanishing planar curvature everywhere. Then Λ is a set of weak spectral synthesis.

- No. Domar made substantial contributions to understanding what conditions on a manifold ensure it is a set of weak spectral synthesis.
- Let us first examine the case of curves, which is some sense the simplest class of manifolds. The notion of *curvature* plays a crucial role here:

For a C^2 plane curve $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$, the *planar curvature* at $s \in [a, b]$ is given by the formula

$$\kappa(m{s}) := rac{\gamma_1^{'}(m{s})\gamma_2^{''}(m{s}) - \gamma_1^{''}(m{s})\gamma_2^{'}(m{s})}{((\gamma_1^{'}(m{s}))^2 + (\gamma_2^{'}(m{s}))^2)^{rac{3}{2}}}.$$

Theorem

(Domar) Suppose $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the graph of a simple C^2 curve that is of non-vanishing planar curvature everywhere. Then Λ is a set of weak spectral synthesis.

- No. Domar made substantial contributions to understanding what conditions on a manifold ensure it is a set of weak spectral synthesis.
- Let us first examine the case of curves, which is some sense the simplest class of manifolds. The notion of *curvature* plays a crucial role here:

For a C^2 plane curve $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$, the *planar curvature* at $s \in [a, b]$ is given by the formula

$$\kappa(m{s}) := rac{\gamma_1^{'}(m{s})\gamma_2^{''}(m{s}) - \gamma_1^{''}(m{s})\gamma_2^{'}(m{s})}{((\gamma_1^{'}(m{s}))^2 + (\gamma_2^{'}(m{s}))^2)^{rac{3}{2}}}.$$

Theorem

(Domar) Suppose $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the graph of a simple C^2 curve that is of non-vanishing planar curvature everywhere. Then Λ is a set of weak spectral synthesis.

< 2 → < 2 →

Definition

For a C^3 curve $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^3$, the *torsion* at $s \in [a, b]$ is $\tau(s)$, given by the formula

$$\tau := \frac{\gamma_1^{(3)}(\gamma_2'\gamma_3'' - \gamma_2''\gamma_3') - \gamma_2^{(3)}(\gamma_1''\gamma_3' - \gamma_1''\gamma_3'') + \gamma_3^{(3)}(\gamma_1'\gamma_2'' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2')}{(\gamma_2'\gamma_3'' - \gamma_2''\gamma_3')^2 + (\gamma_1''\gamma_3' - \gamma_1'\gamma_3'')^2 + (\gamma_1'\gamma_2'' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2')^2}.$$

Using a substantially modified technique, Domar also proved the following:

Theorem

(Domar) Suppose $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is the graph of a simple C^3 curve with non-vanishing torsion. Then Λ is of weak spectral synthesis.

Definition

For a C^3 curve $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^3$, the *torsion* at $s \in [a, b]$ is $\tau(s)$, given by the formula

$$\tau := \frac{\gamma_1^{(3)}(\gamma_2'\gamma_3'' - \gamma_2''\gamma_3') - \gamma_2^{(3)}(\gamma_1'\gamma_3' - \gamma_1''\gamma_3'') + \gamma_3^{(3)}(\gamma_1'\gamma_2'' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2')}{(\gamma_2'\gamma_3'' - \gamma_2''\gamma_3')^2 + (\gamma_1'\gamma_3' - \gamma_1'\gamma_3'')^2 + (\gamma_1'\gamma_2'' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2')^2}$$

Using a substantially modified technique, Domar also proved the following:

Theorem

(Domar) Suppose $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is the graph of a simple C^3 curve with non-vanishing torsion. Then Λ is of weak spectral synthesis.

Definition

For a C^3 curve $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^3$, the *torsion* at $s \in [a, b]$ is $\tau(s)$, given by the formula

$$\tau := \frac{\gamma_1^{(3)}(\gamma_2'\gamma_3'' - \gamma_2''\gamma_3') - \gamma_2^{(3)}(\gamma_1''\gamma_3' - \gamma_1''\gamma_3'') + \gamma_3^{(3)}(\gamma_1'\gamma_2'' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2')}{(\gamma_2'\gamma_3'' - \gamma_2''\gamma_3')^2 + (\gamma_1''\gamma_3' - \gamma_1'\gamma_3'')^2 + (\gamma_1'\gamma_2'' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2')^2}$$

Using a substantially modified technique, Domar also proved the following:

Theorem

(Domar) Suppose $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is the graph of a simple C^3 curve with non-vanishing torsion. Then Λ is of weak spectral synthesis.

Definition

For a C^3 curve $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^3$, the *torsion* at $s \in [a, b]$ is $\tau(s)$, given by the formula

$$\tau := \frac{\gamma_1^{(3)}(\gamma_2'\gamma_3'' - \gamma_2''\gamma_3') - \gamma_2^{(3)}(\gamma_1''\gamma_3' - \gamma_1''\gamma_3'') + \gamma_3^{(3)}(\gamma_1'\gamma_2'' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2')}{(\gamma_2'\gamma_3'' - \gamma_2''\gamma_3')^2 + (\gamma_1''\gamma_3' - \gamma_1'\gamma_3'')^2 + (\gamma_1'\gamma_2'' - \gamma_1''\gamma_2')^2}$$

Using a substantially modified technique, Domar also proved the following:

Theorem

(Domar) Suppose $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is the graph of a simple C^3 curve with non-vanishing torsion. Then Λ is of weak spectral synthesis.

It is worth noting that the geometric condition on the curvature is necessary:

Theorem

(Domar) There exists a C^{∞} planar curve whose graph $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is not of spectral synthesis.

 It is worth noting that the geometric condition on the curvature is necessary:

Theorem

(Domar) There exists a C^{∞} planar curve whose graph $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is not of spectral synthesis.

 For curves in ℝ^d, d = 2, 3, the properties of its derivative matrix gave sufficient conditions for weak spectral synthesis. In the case of hypersurfaces, an additional notion is required.

Definition

A closed set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is said to have the *restricted cone property at a point* $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if there exists a neighborhood U_0 of x_0 and a cone K defined by:

$$K := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid (1 - \delta) \| x \| \le \langle x, z \rangle \le \delta \right\},\$$

where $0 < \delta < 1$, $z \in S^{d-1}$, such that:

$$x-K\subset\Lambda,$$

for every $x \in \Lambda \cap U_0$.

 For curves in ℝ^d, d = 2, 3, the properties of its derivative matrix gave sufficient conditions for weak spectral synthesis. In the case of hypersurfaces, an additional notion is required.

Definition

A closed set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is said to have the *restricted cone property at a point* $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if there exists a neighborhood U_0 of x_0 and a cone *K* defined by:

$$\mathcal{K} := \bigg\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \ \bigg| \ (1 - \delta) \|\mathbf{x}\| \le \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \rangle \le \delta \bigg\},$$

where $0 < \delta < 1$, $z \in S^{d-1}$, such that:

$$x - K \subset \Lambda$$
,

for every $x \in \overline{\Lambda \cap U_0}$.

 Intuitively, a closed set Λ has the restricted cone property if for every point in x₀ ∈ Λ, we can put a cone that is either long and thin or short and wide, with vertex at x₀, entirely into the set Λ.

Theorem

(Domar) Assume $d \ge 2$ and that M is a (d - 1)-dimensional C^{∞} manifold without multiple points and with non-vanishing Gaussian curvature. Suppose $\Lambda \subset M$ is compact. If Λ has the restricted cone property, then it is a set of weak spectral synthesis.

 This result was strengthened by Müller to prove more subtle spectral synthetic results for hypersurfaces. His technique also relies on the restricted cone property.

 Intuitively, a closed set Λ has the restricted cone property if for every point in x₀ ∈ Λ, we can put a cone that is either long and thin or short and wide, with vertex at x₀, entirely into the set Λ.

Theorem

(Domar) Assume $d \ge 2$ and that M is a (d - 1)-dimensional C^{∞} manifold without multiple points and with non-vanishing Gaussian curvature. Suppose $\Lambda \subset M$ is compact. If Λ has the restricted cone property, then it is a set of weak spectral synthesis.

• This result was strengthened by Müller to prove more subtle spectral synthetic results for hypersurfaces. His technique also relies on the restricted cone property.

 Intuitively, a closed set Λ has the restricted cone property if for every point in x₀ ∈ Λ, we can put a cone that is either long and thin or short and wide, with vertex at x₀, entirely into the set Λ.

Theorem

(Domar) Assume $d \ge 2$ and that M is a (d - 1)-dimensional C^{∞} manifold without multiple points and with non-vanishing Gaussian curvature. Suppose $\Lambda \subset M$ is compact. If Λ has the restricted cone property, then it is a set of weak spectral synthesis.

 This result was strengthened by Müller to prove more subtle spectral synthetic results for hypersurfaces. His technique also relies on the restricted cone property.

Thank you for your time!