[Super-resolution limit](#page-5-0) [Beurling super-resolution](#page-21-0)

# On the recovery of measures without separation conditions

Weilin Li

Norbert Wiener Center Department of Mathematics University of Maryland, College Park *http://www.norbertwiener.umd.edu*

Applied and Computational Mathematics Seminar Georgia Institute of Technology October 2, 2017

Acknowledgements: ARO W911 NF-15-1-0112, ARO W911 NF-16-1-0008 DTRA 1-13-1-0015, NSF DMS-1440140

<span id="page-0-0"></span>

[Super-resolution limit](#page-5-0) [Beurling super-resolution](#page-21-0)

# **Collaborators**





# John J. Benedetto (UMD) Wenjing Liao (Georgia Tech)



Super-resolution techniques are concerned with recovering fine details from coarse information.

There are two different categories of super-resolution:

- spectral estimation, single-snapshot, optical, diffraction,
- spatial interpolation, multiple-snapshot, geometrical, image-processing

Applications include:

- medical imaging
- microscopy
- astronomy
- line spectral estimation
- **e** direction of arrival estimation
- **o** neuroscience
- $\bullet$  geophysics



The *Rayleigh length* of an imaging system as the minimum separation between two point sources that the system can resolve.





[Super-resolution limit](#page-5-0) [Beurling super-resolution](#page-21-0)

## Existing super-resolution papers

- $\bigcirc$  Point sources on  $\mathbb R$  with continuous measurements
	- Donoho 1992
	- Demanent and Nguyen 2014
- $2$  Well-separated point sources on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  and optimization methods
	- Candes and Fernandez-Granda 2013, 2014 `
	- Tang, Bhaskar, Shah, and Recht 2013, 2014
	- **c** L. 2017
- **3** Well-separated point sources on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  and greedy methods
	- **Fanniiang and Liao 2012**
	- **Duarte and Baraniuk 2013**
- $\bullet$  Well-separated point sources on  $\mathbb T$  and other methods
	- MUSIC: Liao and Fanniiang 2013
	- Matrix pencil method: Moitra 2015
- **5** Not well-separated point sources on T
	- Morgenshtern and Candès 2016
	- Denoyelle, Duval and Peyré 2016
	- L. and Liao 2017
- **6** Curves on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ 
	- Ongie and Jacob 2016
	- **Benedetto and L. 2016**



[Super-resolution limit](#page-5-0) [Beurling super-resolution](#page-21-0)







<span id="page-5-0"></span>

## **Background**

### Definition (Rayleigh index)

For any discrete set  $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , the Rayleigh index of  $T$  is the smallest number  $R^*(T)$  such that any interval of length *R* contains at most *R* elements of *T*,

$$
R^*(T) = \inf \Big\{ R \colon \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \#(T \cap [a, a + R)) \le R \Big\}.
$$

#### Definition (Sparse clumps)

Let *S*(*N*, *R*) be the set of all measures  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{R})$  supported in the lattice  $\{n/N\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  and has support with Rayleigh index at most *R*.



We are given the bandwidth  $\Omega > 0$  and noise level  $\delta > 0$ , and observe the noisy low-frequency Fourier transform of  $\mu \in S(N, R)$ ,

$$
y(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\omega t} \, d\mu(t) + \eta(\omega) \quad \text{for all} \quad |\omega| \leq \Omega,
$$

where  $\left\|\eta\right\|_{L^2(-\Omega,\Omega)}\leq\delta.$ 

#### Definition (Min-max error for sparse clumps)

The min-max recovery error for the sparse clumps model is

$$
E(N, R, \Omega, \delta) = \inf_{\widetilde{\mu}(y, N, \Omega, R, \delta) \in S(N, R)} \sup_{\mu \in S(N, R)} \sup_{\|\eta\|_{L^2(-\Omega, \Omega)} \le \delta} \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widetilde{\mu}(n/N) - \mu(n/N)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.
$$



#### Theorem (Donoho, 1992)

If *N* and  $\Omega$  are sufficiently large, then for all *R* and  $\delta$ , there exist  $A, B > 0$  depending only on  $\Omega$ , *R* such that

 $AN^{2R-1}\delta \leq E(N,R,\Omega,\delta) \leq BN^{2R+1}\delta.$ 

• Donoho did not obtain the true dependence of  $E(N, R, \Omega, \delta)$  on N, and in that same paper, he posed the problem of finding the true dependence. My opinion is that the sharp upper bound is

$$
E(N, R, \Omega, \delta) \leq BN^{2R-1}\delta.
$$

• The theory of super-resolution was revived about 5 years ago mainly due to a publication of Candes and Fernandez-Granda. Most recent papers focus on ` measures on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  not  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .



Suppose there is a collection of *S* point sources located on a grid,

$$
\mu = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n \delta_{\frac{n}{N}} \quad \text{where} \quad x \in \mathbb{C}_S^N.
$$

We observe noisy low frequency Fourier coefficients,

$$
y_m = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-2\pi i m t} d\mu(t) + z_m, \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le m \le M - 1,
$$

where *z* is some unknown noise.

Important physical quantities:

- Rayleigh length 1/*M*
- Grid width 1/*N*
- Super-resolution factor *N*/*M*



[Super-resolution limit](#page-5-0) [Beurling super-resolution](#page-21-0)

## Failure of compressed sensing

The measurements can be written as the linear system

 $y = \Phi x + z$ , where  $\Phi_{m,n} = e^{-2\pi i mn/N}$ .

Assuming that  $||z|| < \delta$ , we could try compressed sensing techniques such as

```
\min_{\widetilde{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N} \|\widetilde{x}\|_1 such that \|\Phi \widetilde{x} - y\| \le \delta.
```
When  $N \gg M$ , the measurement matrix  $\Phi \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$  fails to satisfy the conditions for standard compressed sensing theory, such as RIP and incoherence.





Figure : Sensing matrix for compressed sensing (left) and super-resolution (right)



## Min-max error

#### Definition (Min-max error)

The min-max error for the discrete model is

$$
E(M, N, S, \delta) = \inf_{\substack{\widetilde{x}(y, M, N, S, \delta) \in \mathbb{C}^N \\ y = \Phi x + z}} \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{C}^N \\ \|x\| \le \delta}} \sup_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{C}^M \\ \|z\| \le \delta}} \|\widetilde{x} - x\|.
$$

Here, the infimum is taken over all  $\tilde{x}$  depending on the known information, *M*, *N*, *S*,  $\delta$ and  $y = \Phi x + z$ , and in particular,  $\tilde{x}$  is selected independently of the unknown information *x* and *z*.

• The min-max error is method agnostic: If  $x_{\text{alg}} = x_{\text{alg}}(y, M, N, S, \delta)$  is chosen according to some algorithm, then necessarily

$$
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{C}_S^N} \sup_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{C}^M \\ \|z\| \le \delta}} \|x_{\text{alg}} - x\| \ge E(M, N, S, \delta).
$$

• The min-max error is a strong way of measuring the performance of an algorithm because the supremum is taken over all possible *S*-sparse vectors and δ bounded noise. Norbert Wiener Center

sa Harmonic Analysis and Appli

## Sharp estimate on the min-max error

### Corollary (L. and Liao, 2017)

For any integer  $S > 0$ , there exist  $A, B > 0$  depending only on *S* such that for all sufficiently large integers *M* and *N*,

$$
A\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\left(\frac{N}{M}\right)^{2S-1}\delta \le E(M, N, S, \delta) \le B\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\left(\frac{N}{M}\right)^{2S-1}\delta.
$$

Implications:

- $\bullet$  As expected, the super-resolution factor  $N/M$  governs the difficulty of recovering point sources at fine scales.
- Noise level  $\delta$  needs to be small in comparison to  $(N/M)^{2S-1}$  for the min-max error to be reasonably small.
- Perhaps "uniform" super-resolution recovery is hopeless? Maybe the best we can do is a theory that holds for a small subset of vectors.



# Min-max error and smallest singular value

Definition (*S*-lower restricted isometry constant)

Let  $S \leq M \leq N$ . The *S*-lower restricted isometry constant is

$$
\Theta(M, N, S) = \min_{|T|=S} \sigma_{\min}(\Phi_T),
$$

where  $\Phi_T\in \mathbb{C}^{M\times |T|}$  is the restriction of  $\Phi$  to the columns indexed by  $T$  and  $\sigma_{\min}(\Phi_T)$  is its smallest singular value.

#### Proposition (Demanet and Nguyen, 2015)

If  $2S \leq M \leq N$  and  $\delta > 0$ , then

$$
\frac{\delta}{2\Theta(M,N,2S)} \leq E(M,N,S,\delta) \leq \frac{2\delta}{\Theta(M,N,2S)}.
$$



# Sharp estimate on lower restricted isometry constant

#### Theorem (L. and Liao, 2017)

For any integer *S* > 0, there exist constants *A*, *B* > 0 depending only on *S* such that for all sufficiently large integers *M* and *N*,

$$
A\sqrt{M}\left(\frac{M}{N}\right)^{S-1} \leq \Theta(M,N,S) \leq B\sqrt{M}\left(\frac{M}{N}\right)^{S-1}
$$

About the numerology:

- Each column of <sup>Φ</sup> has Euclidean norm <sup>√</sup> *<sup>M</sup>*, which explains the <sup>√</sup> *M* term.
- In view of the connection between imaging, it makes sense from a physical point of view that Θ only depends on the super-resolution factor *N*/*M*.
- The singular values of  $\Phi_T$  and  $\Phi_{\widetilde{T}}$  are identical whenever  $T = T + a$  mod *N* and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  so WLOG  $0 \in T$ . Even though  $|T| = S$  one of its columns is already fixed. *a* ∈  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so WLOG 0 ∈ *T*. Even though  $|T|$  = *S* one of its columns is already fixed.



.

## Lower bound

#### Definition (Polynomial interpolation space)

Let  $S \leq M \leq N$ . For each  $v \in \mathbb{C}_{S}^{N}$ , let  $P(M, v)$  be the set of trigonometric polynomials  $f$ such that  $\text{supp}(\widehat{f}) \subseteq \{0, 1, ..., M-1\}$  and  $f(n/N) = v_n$  for all  $n \in \text{supp}(v)$ .

#### Proposition: Duality (L. and Liao, 2017)

Let  $S \leq M \leq N$ . For any support set *T* of cardinality *S*,

$$
\frac{1}{\sigma_{\min}(\Phi_T)}=\sup_{\substack{\|v\|=1\\ \sup p(v)\subseteq T}}\inf_{f\in P(M,v)}\|f\|_{L^2}.
$$





#### Proposition: Sparse Lagrange polynomials (L. and Liao, 2017)

Fix any integer  $S > 0$ . There exists  $C > 0$  depending only on S such that for all sufficiently large *M* and *N* and any support set *T* with cardinality *S*, there exists a family of trigonometric polynomials  ${H_n}_{n \in T}$  such that for all  $n \in T$ ,

• 
$$
H_n(\frac{m}{N}) = \delta_{m,n}
$$
 for all  $m \in T$ ,

$$
\bullet \ \text{supp}(\widehat{H_n}) \subseteq \{0,1,\ldots,M-1\},\
$$

$$
\bullet \ \Vert H_n\Vert_{L^2(\mathbb T)}\leq C\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\Big(\frac{N}{M}\Big)^{S-1}
$$

. We call  ${H_n}_{n \in T}$  the sparse Lagrange polynomials adapted to *T*.

The Lagrange polynomials  ${L_n}_{n \in \mathcal{I}}$  also satisfy the first and second properties, but it turns out that without any additional assumptions on *T*, the best one can do is

$$
||L_n||_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \leq C_S N^{S-1}.
$$



[Super-resolution limit](#page-5-0)

[Beurling super-resolution](#page-21-0)

# Lower bound







Fix any support set *T* with cardinality *S* and let  $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  be the sparse Lagrange polynomials adapted to *T*. For any unit norm  $v \in \mathbb{C}^N$  supported in *T*, define the interpolating polynomial

$$
H(v) = \sum_{n \in T} v_n H_n \in P(M, v).
$$

By the duality principle and Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$
\frac{1}{\sigma_{\min}(\Phi_T)} \leq \sup_{\substack{\|v\|=1\\ \text{supp}(v)\subseteq T}} \|H(v)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \leq \Big(\sum_{n\in T} \|H_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2\Big)^{1/2} \leq C\sqrt{\frac{S}{M}} \Big(\frac{N}{M}\Big)^{S-1}.
$$

This inequality holds for all support sets with cardinality *S*, which yields the desired lower bound for  $\Theta(M, N, S)$ .



## Upper bound

We first write

$$
\Theta(M, N, S) = \min_{|T|=S} \sigma_{\min}(\Phi_T) = \min_{|T|=S} \inf_{\substack{u \neq 0 \\ \text{supp}(u) \subseteq T}} \frac{\|\Phi u\|}{\|u\|}.
$$

We are looking for a  $u \in \mathbb{C}^N_S$  such that  $\widehat{u}$  is small on  $\{0, 1, \ldots, M - 1\}$ .

If  $v$  is well-localized bump near the origin, then an appropriate modulation of  $v$  has Fourier transform that is small near the origin.

Consider the vector (Donoho 1992),

$$
u_n = \begin{cases} (-1)^n {S-1 \choose n} & \text{if } n = 0, 1, ..., S-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Under the assumptions of the theorem,

$$
\Theta(M,N,S) \leq \frac{\|\Phi u\|}{\|u\|} \leq B\sqrt{M} \left(\frac{M}{N}\right)^{S-1}.
$$



# Conclusions about the discrete problem

Summary:

- Proved a sharp estimate on the lower restricted isometry constant and min-max recovery error.
- The noise needs to be extremely small in order to recover arbitrary sparse vectors.
- Constructed a new family of interpolating trigonometric polynomials.

Future work:

- Do any of the current super-resolution algorithms achieve the min-max error?
- What is the exact dependence of  $\Theta(M, N, S)$  on *S*?
- What about arbitrary Vandermonde matrices with nodes on the circle?
- What about weaker ways of measuring the recovery rate, say probabilistic models?









<span id="page-21-0"></span>

Let  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{T}^d).$  Cases of interest:

"Off-the-grid" point sources:  $\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \delta_{x_n}$ 

"Cartoon-like" images:  $\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \sigma_n$ , where  $\sigma_n$  is a surface measure

Let  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$  be a finite set. Cases of interest:

- Uniform sampling:  $\Lambda = \{-M, \ldots, M\}^d$  for some  $M > 0$
- Non-uniform sampling: no assumptions on  $\Lambda$  beyond finiteness

Suppose we observe

$$
F(m) = \widehat{\mu}(m) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{-2\pi i m \cdot x} \, d\mu(x), \quad \text{for all} \quad m \in \Lambda.
$$



### Question (Exact recovery for measures)

What kinds of  $\mu$  can we recover from the spectral data *F* on  $\Lambda$ ?

Heuristic: If  $\mu$  is "not complicated", then we expect total variation minimization to work.

#### Definition (TV-min)

Given *F* on a finite set  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ , the total variation minimization problem is

 $\inf ||v||_{TV}$  such that  $v \in M(\mathbb{T}^d)$  and  $F(m) = \widehat{\nu}(m)$  for all  $m \in \Lambda$ .

Remark: The pre-dual of TV-min can be rewritten as a semi-definite program. The latter can be numerically solved in polynomial time and gives information about the support of the solutions.



#### Theorem (Candès and Fernandez-Granda, 2014)

There exists a constant  $C > 0$  depending only on the dimension d, such that all sufficiently large integers  $M > 0$ , the following holds. Let  $\Lambda = \{-M, \ldots, M\}^d$  and  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{T}^d)$  be a discrete measure such that for any distinct  $x,y \in \mathsf{supp}(\mu),$  we have

$$
\sup_{1\leq j\leq d}|x_j-y_j|_{\mathbb{T}}\geq \frac{C}{M}.
$$

If  $F(m) = \hat{\mu}(m)$  for all  $m \in \Lambda$ , then  $\mu$  is the unique solution to TV-min.

- $\bullet$  The measure  $\mu$  can be exactly recovered without any prior assumptions on its support!
- $\bullet$  The current best result for the implicit constant for  $d = 1$  is  $C = 1.26$ , established by Fernandez-Granda in 2016.
- $\bullet$  In  $d = 1$ , the Rayleigh length for this model is  $O(1/M)$  but this result only applies to discrete measures with separation *O*(1/*M*)...

#### **Questions**

If  $\#\Lambda = O(M)$ , can one recover information at scales  $\ll 1/M$ ? What happens if there is no separation assumption?

Recall the well-known dual characterization of solutions to TV-min.

#### Proposition: Duality

Let  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{T}^d)$ ,  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$  be a finite set, and  $F(m) = \widehat{\mu}(m)$  for all  $m \in \Lambda$ . Then  $\mu$  is a solution to TV-min if and only if there exists a  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$  such that solution to TV-min if and only if there exists a  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$  such that

- supp $(\widehat{\varphi}) \subseteq \Lambda$
- $\bullet \|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq 1$
- $\bullet \varphi = \text{sign}(\mu) \mu$ -a.e.



# Beurling super-resolution

Beurling studied TV-min for  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{R})$  instead of  $M(\mathbb{T}^d).$  He observed that there is a "uniform support" property built into  $TV$ -min for the problem on  $\mathbb R$ . The same principle holds for  $\mathbb{T}^d$ .

### Proposition: Uniform support (Benedetto and L., 2016)

Given *F* on the finite set  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ , let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be the minimum value attained in TV-min. There exists a  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$  such that

- supp $(\widehat{\varphi}) \subseteq \Lambda$
- $\bullet$   $\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}}$  < 1

$$
\bullet \ |\sum_{m\in\Lambda}\widehat{\varphi}(m)F(m)|=\varepsilon
$$

all solutions to TV-min are supported in  $\{x \in \mathbb{T}^d : |\varphi(x)| = 1\}.$ 



## Beurling super-resolution

#### Theorem (Bendetto and L., 2016)

Given  $F$  on the finite set  $\Lambda\subseteq\mathbb{Z}^d$ , let  $\varepsilon>0$  be the minimum value attained in the TV-min problem and let

$$
\Gamma = \{ m \in \Lambda : |F(m)| = \varepsilon \}.
$$

- <sup>1</sup> Suppose Γ = ∅. Then, there exists a closed set *S* of *d*-dimensional Lebesgue measure zero such that each solution to TV-min is a singular measure supported in *S*.
- 2 Suppose  $\#\Gamma > 2$ . For each distinct pair  $m, n \in \Gamma$ , define  $\alpha_{m,n} \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  by  $e^{2\pi i \alpha_{m,n}} = F(m)/F(n)$ . Define the closed set,

$$
S = \bigcap_{\substack{m,n \in \Gamma \\ m \neq n}} \{x \in \mathbb{T}^d \colon x \cdot (m-n) + \alpha_{m,n} \in \mathbb{Z}\},\
$$

which is an intersection of  $(^\#\Gamma_2)$  periodic hyperplanes. Then, each solution to 2 TV-min is a singular measure supported in *S*.

[Super-resolution limit](#page-5-0) [Beurling super-resolution](#page-21-0)

## Beurling super-resolution



Figure : An illustration of the second statement in the theorem. The hyperplanes are represented by the dashed lines. The vectors  $p = (1/4, 3/8)$  and  $q = (-1/4, 1/8)$  are normal to the hyperplanes. All solutions to TV-min are supported in *S*, which is represented by the black dots.



## Example:  $\#\Gamma > 2$

This example shows that the second statement of the theorem is optimal, and also illustrates the importance of geometry when working in higher dimensions.

- Suppose  $F(m) = \hat{\mu}(m)$  for all  $m \in \Lambda$ , where  $\mu = \delta_{(0,0)} + \delta_{(1/2,1/2)}$  and  $\Lambda = \{-1, 0, 1\}^2 \setminus \{(1, -1), (-1, 1)\}.$
- Some calculations show that  $\varepsilon = 2$  and  $\Gamma = \{(-1, -1), (0, 0), (1, 1)\}.$
- According to the theorem, every solution is supported in the set,

$$
S = \{x \in \mathbb{T}^2 \colon x_1 + x_2 = 1\}.
$$

Let  $\sigma_S$  be the surface measure of the Borel set *S*. We readily verify that  $\sqrt{2}\sigma_S$  is also a solution.

• For any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and any integer  $N \geq 2$ , the discrete measure

$$
\frac{2}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \delta_{\left(a+\frac{n}{N},1-a-\frac{n}{N}\right)}
$$

is also a solution.



## Example:  $\#\Gamma = 1$

We cannot say anything about the case  $\#\Gamma = 1$  because it is associated with pathological behaviors. It is possible that there exist uncountably many discrete and absolutely continuous solutions to TV-min.

- Suppose  $F(m) = \widehat{\mu}(m)$  for  $m \in \Lambda$ , where  $\mu = \delta_0 + \delta_{1/2}$  and  $\Lambda = \{-1, 0, 1\}.$
- Some calculations show that  $\varepsilon = 2$  and  $\Gamma = \{0\}$ .
- For any  $a \in \mathbb{T}$  and any integer  $N \geq 2$ , the discrete measure  $\frac{2}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \delta_{a+\frac{n}{N}}$  is also a solution.
- For any integer  $N > 2$  and  $0 < a < (2N + 2)/(3N + 1)$ , define the sequence  ${a_n}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , where

$$
a_n = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ a \left( 1 - \frac{|n|}{N+1} \right) & \text{if } 2 \le |n| \le N, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

The non-negative real-valued function

$$
f(x) = 2 + 2 \sum_{n=2}^{N} a_n \cos(2\pi nx)
$$

is a positive absolutely continuous solution.



## Back to minimum separation

In general, some separation assumption is necessary in order to recover a discrete measure using TV-min.

- Let  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}$  be any finite set.
- For any  $0 < a < 1/2$ , let  $\mu_a = \delta_0 \delta_a$ . Note that  $\|\mu_a\|_{TV} = 2$ .
- Suppose  $F(m) = \widehat{\mu}_a(m)$  for all  $m \in \Lambda$ .
- $\bullet$  Let  $\nu_a$  be the absolutely continuous measure,

$$
\nu_a(x) = \sum_{m \in \Lambda} \widehat{\mu_a}(m) e^{2\pi i m x}.
$$

By construction,  $\hat{\nu}_a(m) = \hat{\mu}_a(m)$  for all  $m \in \Lambda$ . In the limit  $a \to 0$ ,

$$
\|\nu_a\|_{\mathsf{TV}} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left| \sum_{m \in \Lambda} \widehat{\mu_a}(m) e^{2\pi imx} \right| dx \to 0.
$$

By taking *a* sufficiently small, we have  $\|\nu_a\|_{TV} < \|\mu_a\|_{TV}$ , so  $\mu_a$  is not a solution to TV-min.



# **Conclusions**

Summary:

- Incorporated Beurling's ideas in the development of a new theory of super-resolution for general measures.
- Constructed specific examples to show that the theorem cannot be improved without additional assumptions on *F* or Λ.
- Obtained a better understanding of the capabilities of the TV-min approach.

Future work:

- What about the super-resolution of singular continuous measures using other recovery techniques, such as subspace methods?
- Is it possible to extend this approach to the noise case? What is a natural way to define the error for this setting?



- <sup>1</sup> J. Benedetto and W. Li. Super-resolution by means of Beurling minimal extrapolation. *Preprint*, 2016.
- <sup>2</sup> A Beurling. Balayage of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms. *The Collected Works of Arne Beurling*, 1989.
- **3** A Beurling. Interpolation for an interval in  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . The Collected Works of Arne *Beurling*, 1989.
- <sup>4</sup> E. Candes and C. Fernandez-Granda. Towards a mathematical theory of ` super-resolution. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 2014.
- <sup>5</sup> D. Donoho. Superresolution via sparsity constraints. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 1992.
- <sup>6</sup> L. Demanet and N. Nguyen. The recoverability limit for superresolution via sparsity. *Preprint*, 2014.
- **2** C. Fernandez-Granda. Super-resolution of point sources via convex programming. *Inf. Inference*, 2016.
- <sup>8</sup> W. Li and W. Liao. Stable super-resolution limit and smallest singular value of restricted Fourier matrices. *Preprint*, 2017.

<span id="page-33-0"></span>